



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
dhw0304

Paper No. 9

CHIEF PATENT COUNSEL
UNITED STATES SURGICAL,
A DIVISION OF TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP
150 GLOVER AVENUE
NORWALK CT 06856

COPY MAILED

MAR 11 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Mark Roby :
Application No. 09/965,872 : ON PETITION
Filed: 28 September, 2001 :
Attorney Dcket No. 11396 (203-3054) :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b),¹ filed on 19 February, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned on 18 January, 2002, for failure to timely file an executed oath or declaration and a surcharge for its late filing as required by the Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application mailed on 17

¹Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

November, 2001, which set a two month shortened period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 17 November, 2003.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (703)308-6918.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "D Wood".

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions